Project Scoring Sheet – Remediation

Version 1.1

Notes

- This is a static document intended for reference only. It includes information the Reviewer sees while scoring an application.
- Questions relating directly to requirements are indicated with a red asterisk*.
- Checkmarks help reviewers focus in on key components of the scoring.
- The results of individual project reviews are available at the top of each project's page in the Conservation Certification Website.
- Information about other aspects of evaluations (e.g. methodology used for scoring) is available in the Certification Support Center.

Contents

Overview

Percentage of points achievable per section

Scope

* Does the project exceed regulatory requirements?

If the applicant answered that no aspects of the project are done in relation to regulatory requirements, select N/A. If they answered that it was done in relation to regulatory requirements, select Yes or No depending on if it exceeds requirements.

> N/A
> No
> Yes

* Is there a stated conservation education objective?

✔Applicant understanding
> No
> Yes

Management | 74% of points achievable

* At which phase were the conservation and conservation education objectives incorporated into the project? | Up to 30 points

> 0 - After completion
> 1 - During construction and implementation of remedial actions | 15 pts
> 2 - After the site remedial investigations but prior to final approval of remedial design, record of decision (ROD), or action memo | 22.5 pts
> 3 - As early as possible, during the site remedial investigation and risk assessment | 30 pts

To what level were community stakeholders involved in the planning of the voluntary conservation or conservation education projects? | Up to 20 points

> 0 - No community stakeholder involvement
> 1 - Passive one-way communication to stakeholders (e.g. newspaper announcement) | 2 pts
> 2 - One-time or infrequent collection of feedback | 12 pts
> 3 - Recurring involvement or designated steering committee (e.g. Community Advisory Panel) | 20 pts

Were industry best management practices (BMPs) for environmental outcomes used in implementation of this project? | Up to 24 points

> 0 - No established BMPs are used
> 1 - The BMP provides some direct ecological benefit on site, but habitat is not the primary goal | 9.6 pts
> 2 - The BMP is entirely focused on maximizing benefits to habitat and species (e.g. Net Environmental Benefit Analysis, U.S. EPA Green Remediation Strategy, ASTM International Standard Guide for Greener Cleanups) | 24 pts

Participants | 16% of points achievable

Is the information about the biodiversity aspects of the remediation project being shared? | Up to 16 points

      0 - Results are not shared

      1 - Overall stories and summaries are shared only within the company at least once within the certification period | 4.8 pts

      2 - Overall stories and summaries are shared outside of the company at least once within the certification period | 9.6 pts

      3 - Comprehensive and complete data sets are shared outside of the company (e.g., actual charts, spreadsheets, data summaries with numbers) at least once within certification period | 16 pts

Alignment | 10% of points achievable

Does the project tie to a corporate level strategy or commitment to incorporate ecological restoration in remedial designs? | Up to 10 points

General corporate commitments to the environment, biodiversity, or education are not recognized.

✔Specific to project type

      0 - No

      1 - Yes | 10 pts